

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231

**TITLE OF INVENTION:**

A METHOD OF ALLOCATING RESOURCES  
FOR NETWORK CAPACITY MANAGEMENT OF VOICE TRAFFIC  
IN A PACKET BASED BROADBAND ACCESS NETWORK

**INVENTOR:**

THOMAS CHU  
JIONG GONG

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

**A METHOD OF ALLOCATING RESOURCES  
FOR NETWORK CAPACITY MANAGEMENT OF VOICE TRAFFIC  
IN A PACKET BASED BROADBAND ACCESS NETWORK**

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention is directed at capacity management of voice traffic in a packet based broadband access network. The invention specifies a method of allocating network resources efficiently in such a network. The method specifies the logical network architecture, its major components, the information model associated with the components, and the computation algorithms for capacity management. Based on these, useful reports and alerts can be generated to aid network operators in various network capacity management functions.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Network traffic, especially for data applications, is growing at a rapid rate. With this increase in usage, users are demanding more and more bandwidth, especially access bandwidth, as access is usually the bottleneck of the network. In response to this need, a number of access technologies have been developed to provide users with cost effective alternatives to access the network. Examples are cable modems and digital subscriber loop (DSL) modems.

Both cable modem and DSL technologies have been adopted by carriers, especially in the residential and small business market. The initial service offered by the carriers for these access technologies is Internet access. However, both service providers and users realize that huge cost savings can be achieved by putting voice traffic on the same access lines.

### Access to Voice Switches

Currently voice services are provided by the traditional TDM based Class 5 switches. The Class 5 switches support a number of access arrangements. The most popular method is to use Telcordia's GR-303 specification. Figure 1 is an illustration of the concept of the GR-303 specification. In the GR-303 specification, the cooper loops are terminated at remote terminals (RT). These are the usual green boxes at sidewalk curbs or on top of telephone posts. The RTs are connected to the Class 5 switches via T1 circuits. In order to optimize bandwidth on the T1 connections, bandwidth is not allocated until a user picks up the phone. The circuit (also referred to as time slot) allocation is carried out through the use of a signaling protocol, known as the time-slot management control (TMC) protocol.

As time slots are assigned dynamically, it is not necessary to dedicate a time slot to each phone on a permanent basis. Statistic multiplexing is possible and a much smaller number of circuits (or time slots) is required. This reduction in bandwidth usage is commonly referred to as concentration. However, to provide satisfactory quality of service, a sufficient number of available circuits have to be allocated. The most well known method for calculating this concentration ratio is the Erlang formulae. The Erlang formulae express the relationship among the following three variables: (1) the busy hour traffic load, (2) the number of circuits allocated, and (3) the blocking probability. The formulae allow network operators to determine the value of any one of the variables from the other two.

A major shortcoming of the prior art is that the traditional TDM based Class 5 switch manages call blocking only for the interfaces that are directly attached to it. The Class 5 switch assumes that the telephones are connected directly to an RT, which, in turn, is directly connected to the switch. A new generation of voice switches begins to appear in the marketplace. These switches typically accept voice traffic in packet format. As access networks evolve, the logical topology of the network will be more complicated than that associated with TDM based Class 5 switches. This invention addresses this problem by specifying a new network capacity management method.

### Emerging Access Technologies

The digital subscriber loop (DSL) technology is widely accepted by the market as a means of providing broadband access. Figure 2 illustrates a typical arrangement for DSL access. In DSL access, the service provider usually deploys an integrated access device (IAD) 101 at the customer premise. The IAD is connected to the local serving office 103 through a single copper loop 102. The IAD will provide an Ethernet port for data access 104, and a number of voice jacks (105 & 106) for phones (107 & 108). Both the data traffic and voice traffic are multiplexed on top of the single copper loop. For DSL, ITU-T and ATM Forum standards specify the use of asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) as the layer 2 multiplexing technology. Data and voice traffic are carried over separate virtual circuits (VC), VC 115 for data and VC 114 for voice traffic respectively. The DSLAM (110), located at local serving office 103, demodulates the analog signal, and then forwards the traffic to an ATM network, represented by the ATM switch 111. The egress ATM switch would then de-multiplex the data and voice traffic and forward them to the appropriate equipment (112 for voice services & 113 for data services). Note that the single ATM switch shown in the figure can be an entire ATM network.

In cable modem, the arrangement is similar, except that the cable is shared by many users, while, in DSL, the access copper loop is dedicated to a single user. The deployment of integrated access is not just limited to the new broadband access technologies. Many users are using this technology with traditional access methods such as T1 and T3 access circuits.

### Equivalent Bandwidth

In a traditional TDM network, the resource needed to support a connection is expressed in a single parameter, the bandwidth needed. In a packet network, the traffic usually is characterized by a number of parameters. In the case of ATM, for example, the following parameters are used to describe the traffic of a virtual circuit:

- Peak cell rate (PCR)
- Sustained cell rate (SCR)
- Maximum burst size (MBS)

- Cell delay variance tolerance (CDVT)

In order to provide the required quality of service, ATM switches usually keep track of resources allocated to virtual circuits (VC) that run through them. For example, buffers and link capacity have to be allocated to support the requested service for a VC. To simplify connection control, the switch converts all the traffic parameters of a VC to a single value commonly referred to as equivalent bandwidth in the literature. The equivalent bandwidth represents the amount of link capacity that should be allocated to support this VC. In addition to the traffic parameters, the value of equivalent bandwidth would depend on a number of factors and policies:

- The service requests (CBR, VBR-rt, VBR, etc)
- The speed of the physical link
- The scheduling discipline of the link
- The traffic load of the link
- Policies of the service providers, etc

In other packet networks such as those that are based on the Internet Protocol (IP), similar parameters are used to describe traffic flows. For example, instead of peak cell rate, peak bit rate is used.

Voice traffic can be encoded in a number of ways, such as Pulse Code Modulation (PCM) as specified in ITU-T G.711, Adaptive Differential Pulse Code Modulation (ADPCM) as specified in ITU-T G.726, and Conjugate Structure – Arithmetic Code Excited Linear Prediction (CS-ACELP), as specified in ITU-T G.729. Furthermore, even with the same coder, the voice traffic can be packetized by different encapsulation schemes. Each encoding method and encapsulation scheme would result in different equivalent bandwidth. For example, using AAL 2 to carry PCM traffic would need approximately 82 Kbps of equivalent bandwidth, while using AALL 2 to carry 32 Kbps ADPCM traffic would need 37 Kbps of equivalent bandwidth.

When compressed voice is used, there is a slight complication with respect to fax calls. In most communications systems, the voice switch and/or the IAD will detect a fax call based on the presence of the 2100 Hz tone in the initial call set up phase of the fax

call (ITU-T T.30). The switch and/or the IAD would then up-speed to PCM automatically. Therefore adjustment to account for the fax traffic is needed for capacity management purpose. The network operator could assume that only a certain percentage of the calls are fax calls. As an example, if the network operator sets this percentage to be 10%, the adjusted equivalent bandwidth for the ADPCM case would be  $0.1 \cdot 82 + 0.9 \cdot 40 = 44.2$  Kbps.

### **SUMMARY OF INVENTION**

This invention describes a method for the capacity management of voice traffic in a packet based broadband access network. Using this method, the user can efficiently allocate network resources while meeting performance objectives. The access network can be based on a number of transport technologies such as ATM, IP, and frame relay.

The method accommodates an architecture where integrated access devices (IAD) are connected to voice switches through dedicated resources. The IADs can be located at the customer premises or in the distribution network. Telephones are connected to these IADs. The voice switch can be traditional Class 5 TDM switches as well as the new generation of packet based switches. Switching of the voice traffic is carried out by the voice switches. This mode of operation applies to voice over DSL, voice over cable modem, and other similar technologies. Figure 3 shows the basic configuration of such a typical network. For simplicity, only one voice switch is shown in the figure. In practice, the network would have multiple voice switches, each supporting a number of integrated access devices (IAD) and telephones.

The logical network connecting the IADs to the voice switch forms a tree topology. Prior art in capacity management is not adequate to support this type of configuration. Traditional Class 5 switches and even some of the new generation packet based switches support call blocking only for the interfaces that are directly attached to them. These switches are not aware of the logical tree structure of the access network. Using the prior art would require the network operator to allocate full resources to support all the phones without concentration for all segments of the tree, except for the

segment that are directly connected to these switches. This results in sub-optimal resource allocation and poor performance.

This invention takes into account that the logical topology of the access network is a tree structure. To ensure good performance, blocking probability of all segments of the tree must be assigned consistently. Such a rule is included in the specification. In computing the number of telephones supported and the associated required equivalent bandwidth of a particular segment, information on other segments is needed. The specification includes an embodiment of the information model for all segments and the computation algorithms to efficiently compute these parameters in the information model. The information model and the computation algorithms can be implemented in network capacity management software tools for managing large networks. Using these software tools, network operators can generate reports to help their network capacity planning and to identify congestion points of the network. Examples of such reports are:

- Network resources required link by link for a given network.
- Alarm indications where projected traffic load exceeds allocated resources.
- Alarm indications when required resources do not adhere to the user's policy, etc.

A new feature for voice switches, hierarchical call blocking is also specified. With this feature, high concentration can be achieved without the possibility of overloading.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Figure 1 is an illustration of the configuration of Telcordia's GR-303 specification.

Figure 2 is an illustration of the arrangement for DSL access to support both data services and voice services. The voice services can be provided by either the traditional TDM based Class 5 switches through GR-303 gateways, or the new generation of packet based voice switches.

Figure 3 shows an example of a network where integrated access devices (IAD) are connected to a voice switch through a packet based access network. For simplicity,

only a single voice switch is shown in the figure. In practice, many voice switches can be connected to the network.

Figure 4 shows an example a network using DSL access to a Class 5 switch via a GR-303 gateway.

Figure 5 shows the tree structure associated with the network in Figure 4.

Figure 6 shows the VP assignment of the tree structure in Figure 5.

Figure 7 is a table summarizing the characteristics of the VP segments of the tree structure in Figure 6.

Figure 8 is a table summarizing the computation for the required equivalent bandwidth associated with each VP segment for the tree structure in Figure 6.

Figure 9 is a graphic representation of the results presented in Figure 8.

Figure 10A and 10B show the flowcharts for the computation of the number of telephones supported by all the segments.

Figure 11A and 11B show the flowcharts for the computation of the required equivalent bandwidth for all the segments.

Figure 12 is a table summarizing the computation of the number of required circuits for the segments where the blocking probabilities for all segments, level 2 and above, are 0.

Figure 13 is a graphic representation of the results in Figure 12.

Figure 14 is an illustration of the architecture of DSL access to Class 5 switch using a GR-303 gateway.

Figure 15 is a table summarizing the computation of the effective bandwidth of segments where phones from an IAD are connected to two different remote terminals (RT).

Figure 16 is an illustration of the structure for information maintained by a voice switch to support hierarchical call blocking for the tree structure in Figure 5.

## **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention is first described in the context of supporting packet voice over DSL. In DSL, the ITU-T standards specify ATM as the layer 2 technology. The IADs are first connected to DSLAMs (Digital Subscriber Loop Access Multiplexers), which would demodulate the analog DSL signal from the IAD to ATM cells and then forward



them to the appropriate destinations through an ATM network (and vice versa in the opposite direction). In standards such as ATM Forum Specification atmf-0145, "Loop Emulation Service using AAL 2", the voice traffic is multiplexed onto a single permanent virtual circuit (PVC) to the voice switch using AAL 2 (ATM adaptation Layer). In the initial deployment, the voice switches are the traditional Class 5 switches. GR-303 gateways are used to convert voice packets to TDM format and vice versa.

As an illustration, an example of such a network is shown in Figure 4. In this example, the ATM network consists of 4 ATM switches (110, 111, 112, and 113) in a ring configuration. A Class 5 voice switch 101 is connected to GR-303 gateway 102 through interface group 103. Gateway 102 in turn is connected to ATM switch 110. Connected to ATM switch 110 is DSLAM 130, which in turn is connected to 20 IADs (201 to 220). Connected to ATM switch 111 is DSLAM 131, which is connected to 60 IADs (301 to 360). Connected to ATM switch 112 are two DSLAMs 132 and 133. They are connected to 40 and 80 IADs respectively (401 to 440 and 501 to 580). Connected to ATM switch 113 is DSLAM 134, which is connected to 100 IADs (601- 700). Each IAD is assumed to have 5 telephones connected to it. The IADs are connected to the gateway through ATM PVCs, one PVC for each IAD. For IADs connected to DSLAM 130, the PVCs pass through ATM switch 110. For IADs connected to DSLAM 131, the PVCs pass through ATM switch 111 and then ATM switch 110. For IADs connected to DSLAM 132 and 133, the PVC pass through ATM switch 112 and then 110. For IAD connected to DSLAM 134, the PVCs pass through ATM switch 113, 111, and then 110. As there is only one single GR-303 interface group between the gateway and the Class 5 switch, an implicit assumption is that all the telephones are connected to one remote terminal module in the gateway, as each remote terminal module would have its own GR-303 interface group.

The topology of the above network logically forms a tree. Furthermore, there are multiple PVCs between the DLASM and ATM switches, among the ATM switches, as well as between the ATM switches and the gateway. The logical tree topology is shown in Figure 5.

Using prior art to engineer this network, the process would first use the Erlang formula or similar means to determine the number of circuits required for the GR-303

interface group 103. Let us assume that each phone generates 15 minutes of traffic during the busy hour. The total traffic load of the network is  $1500 * 15/60 = 375$  erlangs. For a blocking probability of 1%, 400 circuits (or time slots) would be required. However, for the PVC connections between the gateways and IADs, resources are fully allocated to support each phone. In this example, as there are 5 phones connected to each IAD, the network operator would allocate resources to support 5 simultaneous calls for each PVC. Since it is unlikely that all the phones would be active at the same time, allocating dedicated resources to each phone is wasteful and thus a better method is needed.

In the present invention, PVC segments over the same physical link are consolidated into virtual path (VP) segments. Resource will be allocated to individual VP segment as an aggregate as opposed to individual PVCs. Statistic multiplexing techniques can be used to efficiently allocate resources to these segments. The ATM switches are configured to manage the traffic of these segments at the VP level as opposed to at the VC level. A diagram showing the VP segments within the tree network is shown in Figure 6. A table summarizing the characteristics of the VP segments is shown in Figure 7.

The following terminology would be used to describe the relationship among the VP segments. When two or more VP segments are merged to an upstream VP segment (e.g. VP 305 and VP 306 merged into the VP 302), the upstream VP (VP 302 in this case) is referred to as the parent VP. The downstream VP segments are referred to as child VPs. The term "level" would be used to describe the distance of the VP segments in terms of number of hops from the voice switch. For this example, we have the following:

- The level 1 segment consists of VP 301.
- The level 2 segments consist of VP 302, 303, and 304.
- The level 3 segments consist of VP 305, 306, 307, and 308.
- The level 4 segment consists of VP 309.

There can only be a single level 1 segment in a tree (VP 301 in this case), and it is referred to as the root segment.

Since GR-303 interface group 103 has 400 circuits allocated to it, the Class 5 switch would not allow more than 400 calls on this tree network. Therefore each VP segment would only require resources to support at most 400 calls. Higher concentration can be achieved if each VP segment is engineered using the Erlang formula or similar means. As the Class 5 switch only blocks calls at the GR-303 interface group 103, and not at the segment level, it may allow more calls to go through a VP segment than the allocated resources. Take VP 305, for example. There are 100 PVCs within this VP and so this VP supports 500 phones. The traffic load is 125 erlangs. For a blocking probability of 1 %, resources equivalent to 144 circuits would be allocated. However, the Class 5 switch, unaware of the tree structure, could allow more than 144 calls go through this VP segment. In this situation, the VP segment is overloaded.

The only exception to the above situation is the level 1 VP (VP 301 in this example). If all the telephones of a tree network are connected to a single remote terminal as in the case in this example, the resource allocated to the Level 1 VP should be equivalent to the size of GR-303 interface group.

Whether to allow for overloading is a network policy of the service provider. Service providers can always prevent overloading by setting all the blocking probability for all VP segments, level 2 and above, to be 0. The blocking probability of the level 1 VP segment should be the same as that of the GR-303 interface group. Other service providers may accept overloading with a small probability as the cost savings can be significant. The present invention supports both cases without modification.

As the VP segments form a tree structure and are not independent from each other, the blocking probabilities of the segments have to be assigned consistently, according to the following rule:

The blocking probability of the child VPs should be less than or equal to the blocking probability of the parent VP segment.

For example, let the blocking probability of VP 301 to 309 be  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{111}$  respectively. This rule would imply:

- $\alpha_0$  (the blocking probability for VP 301)  $\geq \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ , and  $\alpha_3$  (the blocking probability for VP 302, 304, and 303, all its children)

Similarly,

- $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_{11}$  and  $\alpha_{12}$
- $\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{22}$
- $\alpha_{11} \geq \alpha_{111}$

As a numerical example for the case that allows for overloading, let each telephone generates 15 minutes of traffic during the busy hour. Let the blocking probability of VP 301 be 1%, the blocking probability of the level 2 VP segments (302, 303, and 304) be 0.5%, and the blocking of the rest VP segments be 0.2 %. For PCM voice over AAL 2, the equivalent bandwidth of a call is about 82 Kbps. With these assumptions, the two parameters (1) the number of circuits required, and (2) the effective bandwidth required, can be computed using the Erlang formula for all segments. The results are summarized in a table in Figure 8. The effect of concentration and savings in network resources are evident from the table. The concentration ratios for VP 301 to 309 are 3.75, 3.51, 2.64, 3.53, 3.27, 3.06, 3.31, 2.90 and 3.27 respectively. The results are also presented in a graphic format in Figure 9.

### Information Model and Computation Algorithms

For large networks, the computation described above is quite tedious. An embodiment of the information model and the computation algorithms are described below. They can be implemented in software tools, enabling fast and easy computation.

The most important components of the network architecture are the VP segments. For each VP segment, the following information should be defined and stored:

- a) ID of the VP segment
- b) ID of parent VP segment

- c) Downstream node type: Each VP segment is a connection between two nodes. The downstream node is the one further away from the voice switch. There are two possible types of nodes: end node or intermediate node. In a DSL access network, the DSLAMs would be end nodes while the ATM switches are intermediate nodes.
- d) List of child VP segments: End nodes would have no child VP segments.
- e) Number of telephones that are attached directly to a VP segment's downstream node
- f) Number of telephones supported through this VP segment:
  - The value of this parameter would be computed from other parameters including the same information from the child VP segments. The algorithms will be described later.
- g) Traffic profile of the telephones
- h) Encoder and encapsulation scheme used
- i) Required number of circuits for this VP segment
- j) Required equivalent bandwidth for this VP segment
  - The value of this parameter would be computed from other parameters including the same information from the parent segment. The algorithms will be described later.
- k) Current assigned equivalent bandwidth for this VP segment
- l) Desired blocking probability over this VP segment
- m) The physical links over which the VP segment transverses.

Parameters (f) and (i) are computed from the other parameters. An embodiment of the computation algorithm, consisting of two stages, is described as follows. In the first stage, the system determines the number of telephones that is supported (parameter f) segment by segment. For each segment, this value would be equal to the sum of (1) the number of telephones directly attached to the downstream node, and (2) the number of telephones that are supported by all its child segments. The algorithm computes this value beginning with VP segments with the highest level (i.e., farthest from the voice switch) and proceeds towards the root of the tree. A flowchart implementing the above logic is shown in Figures 10A and 10B. Details of the steps are described below. The steps follow each other in sequence unless otherwise stated:

Step 100: Start of the computation process

Step 110: Initialize the value of parameter M to be the highest level of the VP segment (i.e., the computation begins with the highest level of the tree)

Step 120: For each VP segment of level M, execute step 130 to 210

Step 130: Determine all the child segments of the current VP segment. Let there be N of them.

Step 140: Get the value of the number of phones supported by each child segment, (parameter f of the child segment). This value is available as the computation begins with the highest level and proceeds towards the root.

Therefore, this value is already computed. Let these values be  $K_i$ ,  $i = 1, N$ .

Step 150: Get the value of the number of phones directly connected to the downstream node of the current segment (parameter e). Let this value be  $K_0$

Step 160: Set K, the number of phones supported by the current segment, equals the sum  $K_0$  and all the  $K_i$ . (i.e.,  $K = K_0 + K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + \dots + K_N$ )

Step 170: Check whether there are more VP segments of the same level to be processed. If yes go to step 180, otherwise go to step 190.

Step 180: Proceed with the next VP segment of the same level and go to step 130.

Step 190: The parameter M is updated to  $M - 1$ .

Step 200: Compare M with 0. If  $M > 0$ , proceed with the next lower level by going to step 120. If  $M = 0$ , this means all levels have been processed and the algorithm terminates.

In the second stage, the algorithm then computes the equivalent bandwidth for the VP segments. This stage involves a number of computations. The key point is that this computation should start from the root and proceed down the tree, level by level. A flowchart implementing the logic is shown in Figures 11A and 11B. The details of the steps are described below. The steps follow each other in sequence unless otherwise stated:

Step 400: Start of the computation process.

Start 410: Initialize M to be 1. This parameter represents the value of the current segment level and so the algorithm begins at the root. Let  $L_{max}$  be the value of the highest level of the tree.

Step 420: For each VP segment of level M, execute steps 430 to 490.

Step 430: For the current VP segment, get the following values:

$T$  = The Number of phones supported by this segment. This is parameter  $f$  of the VP segment, which has been computed in the first stage.

$\beta$  = The blocking probability of this segment (parameter  $k$ )

$B$  = The effective bandwidth of a single call (This is determined by parameter  $h$ , the encoder and encapsulation scheme used)

Step 440: Determine  $B1$ , the equivalent bandwidth per call, from the traffic profile (parameter  $g$ ). The traffic load  $L$  would be equal to  $= T * B1$ .

Step 450: Use the Erlang formula to compute number of required circuits  $C$  from the load  $L$  and the blocking  $\beta$ .

Step 460: Get the value of the number of the required circuits for the parent segment,  $C1$ . This value is available as the algorithm starts from the root and proceeds download level by level.

Step 470: Let  $C2$  be the minimum of  $C$  and  $C1$ . This would be the updated value for the required number of circuits of this VP segment.

Step 480: The required equivalent bandwidth of this segment, parameter  $(i)$ , is the product of  $C2$  and  $B$ .

Step 490: Check whether there are more VP segments of the same level to be processed. If yes go to step 500, otherwise go to step 510.

Step 500: Proceed with the next VP segment of the same level and go to step 430.

Step 510: The parameter  $M$  is updated to  $M + 1$ .

Step 520: Compare  $M$  with  $L_{max}$ , the highest level of the tree. If  $M \leq L_{max}$ , proceed by going to step 420. If  $M > L_{max}$ , this means all levels has been processed and the algorithm terminates.

The above described algorithm calculates the number of required circuits  $C$  from the traffic load  $L$  and blocking probability  $\beta$ . Other variations are possible. For example, calculate  $\beta$  from  $L$  and  $C$  or calculate  $L$  from  $\beta$  and  $C$ .

As remarked earlier, some service providers may not allow for overloading. The method supports this case by setting the blocking probabilities of all VP segments of level 2 and above to be 0. Using the same network as an example, the results are

summarized in a table in Figure 12. Figure 13 is a graphic illustration of the above results. One can observe that, even in this case, concentration is possible for some VP segments. The concentration ratios for VP 301 to VP 309 are 3.75, 3.75, 1, 1.5, 1.25, 1, 1, 1, and 1.25 respectively. Comparing the results here with the case that allows for overloading, it is clear that substantial network resource savings can be realized by allowing for overloading.

In our example, all the telephones are connected to the same RT module in the gateway. In GR-303, each RT can only support up to 2048 phones. As the network grows, it is possible that telephones from the same IAD would be cross-connected to different RTs. Figure 14 is an illustration of the DSL access to GR-303 gateway showing this possibility. This scenario can be supported in the following manner:

1. First segregate all the telephones of the tree network into groups. Each group comprises telephones connected to the same RT. The groups share the same tree-network topology.
2. Apply the method to each group separately. The policies governing each group such as blocking probabilities could be different.
3. The required equivalent bandwidth of the aggregate VP segments would be the sum of the corresponding segments from each group.

Using the same example, let us assume that the tree is connected to two RTs. Eighty percent of the telephones are connected to RT1 while the rest to RT2. The effective bandwidth for each segment can be computed using the present method and the results are summarized in a table in Figure 15.

### New Generation of Packet Based Voice Switches

The method is applicable without modification when the voice switch is one of the new generation packet based voice switches. As a matter of fact, these new voice switches could provide a new feature by maintaining the following information in its database:

- The parent-child relationship of the VP segments



- The resources allocated to each segment
- The telephone numbers supported by each VP segment

Based on this information and the current traffic load of the VP segments, the voice switch would decide whether to block a call or not. With this new feature, overloading would never occur on any VP segment even the call blocking probability is not 0. Therefore this hierarchical call blocking capability is a highly desirable feature in terms of network capacity planning. Figure 16 is an illustration of the structure of the information maintained.

### Physical Link Limitation Considerations

The VP segments are carried over physical links. Each physical link can support a number of VP segments. As long delay adversely affects the quality of a call, voice traffic is typically assigned a high priority in the ATM network (e.g. CBR service or VBR-rt service). However, if too much high priority traffic is allocated to a physical link, it can cause large delay variation between packets of a call. This results in large de-jitter buffer in the voice switches and the IADs, which introduces more delay and causes higher packet loss rate. Therefore, most network operators would only assign up to a pre-specified percentage of a link for high priority traffic to ensure good performance. The usual policy is 30 – 50%. In generating reports, an alarm indication will be displayed to the network operator if the total equivalent bandwidth for voice traffic exceeds the pre-specified allowable bandwidth for high priority traffic.

### Usage

The method described above can be used to produce a number of useful reports. The following are some examples:

1. The network operator inputs to the software tool, for each tree subtending from the voice switches:
  - The topology of the VP network
  - The number of telephones connected to the network node
  - The traffic profile of a telephone

- The equivalent bandwidth needed to support a call
- The desired blocking probability of the VP segments

The system would provide, as an output, the equivalent bandwidth for each VP segment. One use of this output is to support network capacity planning.

2. The network operator inputs to the software tool the above information as described in item 1 and the equivalent bandwidth currently assigned to each VP segments. The system would provide, in addition, instances where the required bandwidth exceeds or is within a threshold of the allocated bandwidth. One use of this output is to support network capacity maintenance.
3. The network operator inputs information as described in item 1. The software tool would output the actual blocking probability based on the allocated equivalent bandwidth. One use of this output is to support network capacity maintenance.
4. The network operator inputs information as described in item 2. The software tool would output reports to indicate instances where the total required equivalent bandwidth of all the VPs over a physical link exceeds a certain threshold. One use for this report is to identify the congestion points of the physical network.
5. The network operator inputs the above information in item 1, except that the network operator would input the allocated effective bandwidth of the segment instead of the number of the telephones. The number of telephones that can be supported would be the output instead.

The above examples of outputs can be generated to support network capacity management. Those skilled in the art can readily identify other related usages. The method is flexible so that the user can analyze many "what if" scenarios in network capacity management.

### Supporting Other Access Technologies

The central theme of the present invention is to group individual voice traffic flows into aggregate flows link by link. Statistical multiplexing techniques can be applied to manage these aggregate flows so that network resources can be assigned optimally. In ATM, VPs are a readily available means of aggregation. However, the concept of VP is not essential for this method. Therefore this invention can be readily applied to other access technologies as long as the aggregate flows can be managed.

In frame relay, the concept of virtual path (VP) does not exist. However, all frame relay switches support the concept of overbooking. In overbooking, resource allocated to a permanent virtual circuit (PVC) is discounted by a factor. The discount factor is an input by the network operator based on their knowledge of the type of applications why the traffic can be discounted. In this invention, the discount factor of a PVC would be different segment by segment as it transverses across the network, and is equal to the concentration ratio of that segment. In our example, segment 304 supports 600 phones and requires 170 circuits. The overbooking factor would be  $600/170$  or 3.53. The voice traffic, of course, would be high priority traffic. This overbooking technique can also be used in ATM without the use of the concept of VP segments.

The method also applies to an IP based network with minor modifications. The salient points are:

- The ATM switches are replaced by routers.
- Voice traffic is assigned high priority. One mechanism to do this is specified in the Differentiated Service (diffServ) specifications from the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).
- Instead of provisioning the VP segment through network management, the topology of the tree network is determined by the routing table in the routers automatically. Specifying the route manually is also possible.
- IP has no concept of connections and VPs. However, if a VP segment is interpreted as an aggregate of voice traffic flows as described earlier, the method can be applied to calculate the equivalent bandwidth of the aggregates.

- Note that, as before, a single physical link may carry traffic from multiple aggregates. The network operator should avoid putting too much high priority traffic on any physical link. Using this method, reports can be generated to show instances where high priority traffic exceeds a pre-specified threshold.

MPLS (multi-protocol label switching) has gathered a lot of attention in the market place. In a MPLS network, the network operator can define label switched path (LSP) between end-points. A LSP supports a hierarchy of labels and they are similar to the VCs and VPs in ATM, except that it is unidirectional. The method applies without major modification to this case. The only difference is that two LSPs are needed to replace a VP segment, as the LSPs are unidirectional.

The above examples demonstrate the diverse applicability of the invention. In summary, it supports:

- A large variety of access network topology (ATM, frame relay, IP, MPLS, etc.)
- Different types of voice switches, packets based as well as traditional TDM based Class 5 switches
- Different encoding and encapsulation schemes by keeping track of the number of telephones supported for each scheme.
- Many forms of input and output of the software tool so that the network operator can analyze a multitude of "what-if" scenarios

Several embodiments of the present invention are specifically illustrated and/or described herein. However, it will be appreciated that modifications and variations of the present invention are covered by the above teachings and within the purview of the appended claims without departing from the spirit and intended scope of the invention.